

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/526,676	LORENTZ, VINCENT	
	<b>Examiner</b> N Edwards	<b>Art Unit</b> 1794	
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> _____	
(1) <i>N Edwards</i> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <i>William Millen (19,544)</i> .		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>18 August 2008</u>		<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>Rejection of record.</i>			
Claims discussed:			
<i>Claims of record.</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>Art of record.</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/N Edwards/ Primary Examiner</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Primary Examiner called Applicant and suggested amendments to place in a better condition for allowance before a Final action. Note the process claims did not comply with 37 CFR 1.475. The process claims were not specifically adapted for making the product of claim 22. Applicant agreed.